

**REMARKS:**

Claims 13-29 are in the case and presented for consideration.

Claim 14 is amended to remove the words "then" appearing before the second and third steps of this dependent claim.

The Examiner's amendment to claims 15 and 16 have also been incorporated.

Although it is appreciated that this amendment is being made after allowance, the change to dependent claim 14 is supported by the specification and does not broaden the scope of protection of the parent, independent claim 13. Entry of this amendment is, therefore, believed appropriate and despite the fact that this amendment is being made after allowance.

For support, please see the specification at page 11, lines 1-4 where it is disclosed that the two substrates 1 or 5 as shown in Fig. 2, can each be coated with a semi-transparent layer system 2 and the reflective system 6 and adhered to each other. There is no requirement that any particular sequence be observed for applying the semi-transparent layer system 2 or the reflective layer system 6 to either the first substrate 1 or

second substrate 5. Accordingly, the amendment to claim 14 is supported by the specification and entry of this amendment and further favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Peter C. Michalos  
Reg. No. 28,643  
Attorney for Applicants  
(845) 359-7700

Dated: March 25, 2004

**NOTARO & MICHALOS P.C.**  
100 Dutch Hill Road, Suite 110  
Orangeburg, New York 10962-2100

PCM:kat